APPEAL NO. 040662 FILED MAY 17, 2004

| This appeal aris | ses pursuant to the Texas W | orkers' Compensation | n Act, TEX. LAB. |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CODE ANN. § 401.00 | 1 et seq. (1989 Act). A conte | ested case hearing w | as scheduled for |
| January 21, 2004, but | reset to and held on Februa | ary 17, 2004. The re | ecord was closed |
| on March 3, 2004. Th | e hearing officer resolved th | e disputed issue by | deciding that the |
| appellant's (claimant) | injury sustained on | , does not | extend to include |
| bilateral degenerative | osteoarthritis and moderate | changes of chondroi | malacia patella of |
| the knees. The clain | nant appealed, arguing that | the medical eviden | ce established a |
| causal relationship be | etween the conditions alleg | ed and the claimar | t's compensable |
| injury of | The respondent (carrie | er) responded, urging | affirmance. |

DECISION

Affirmed.

The parties stipulated that the claimant sustained a compensable injury in the form of a lateral meniscus tear of the left knee and a tear of the posterior horn of the medial meniscus of the right knee on ______. At issue was whether the compensable injury extended to include bilateral degenerative osteoarthritis and moderate changes of chondromalacia patella of the knees. Conflicting evidence was presented with regard to the extent of the claimant's compensable injury. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a). As the finder of fact, the hearing officer resolves the conflicts in the evidence and determines what facts have been established. This is equally true regarding medical evidence. Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286, 290 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). Nothing in our review of the record indicates that the hearing officer's decision is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

We affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is (a self-insured governmental entity) and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

JG (ADDRESS) (CITY), TEXAS (ZIP CODE).